

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

**IN RE OPTICAL DISK DRIVE  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

Case No. 3:10-md-02143 RS

MDL No. 2143

This Document Relates to:

**DIRECT PURCHASER CLASS ACTIONS**

**FINAL JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL  
WITH PREJUDICE AS TO DEFENDANTS  
PIONEER CORP.; PIONEER NORTH  
AMERICA, INC; PIONEER  
ELECTRONICS (USA) INC.; AND  
PIONEER HIGH FIDELITY TAIWAN  
CO., LTD.**

1 This matter has come before the Court to determine whether this Court should approve the  
2 settlement with Defendants Pioneer Corp.; Pioneer North America, Inc; Pioneer Electronics (USA)  
3 Inc.; and Pioneer High Fidelity Taiwan Co., Ltd. (“Pioneer” or “Defendants”) set forth in the  
4 Settlement Agreement (“Pioneer Settlement Agreement”), dated August 19, 2015, relating to the  
5 above-captioned litigation. The Court, after carefully considering all papers filed and proceedings  
6 held herein and otherwise being fully informed in the premises, has determined (1) that the  
7 settlement should be approved, and (2) that there is no just reason for delay of the entry of this  
8 Final Judgment approving the Pioneer Settlement Agreement. Accordingly, the Court directs entry  
9 of Judgment which shall constitute a final adjudication of this case on the merits in accordance  
10 with the terms of the Pioneer Settlement Agreement. Good cause appearing therefor, it is:

11 **ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:**

- 12 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action and over all parties  
13 to the Pioneer Settlement Agreement, including all members of the Class.
- 14 2. The definitions of terms set forth in the Pioneer Settlement Agreement are  
15 incorporated hereby as though fully set forth in this Judgment.
- 16 3. The Court hereby finally approves and confirms the settlement set forth in the  
17 Pioneer Settlement Agreement and finds that said settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable and  
18 adequate to the Class pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 19 4. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(g), Saveri & Saveri, Inc. is appointed as counsel for  
20 the Class. This firm has and will fairly and competently represent the interests of the Class.
- 21 5. The persons/entities identified in Exhibit C to the Declaration of Rachel Christman  
22 re Dissemination of BenQ, Pioneer, PLDS, QSI, Sony, TEAC, and TSST Notice to Class Members  
23 and Claims Process, filed on March 24, 2016, have validly requested exclusion from the Class and,  
24 therefore, are excluded. Such persons/entities are not included in or bound by this Final Judgment.  
25 Such persons/entities are not entitled to any recovery of the settlement proceeds obtained through  
26 the Pioneer Settlement.
- 27 6. This Court hereby dismisses on the merits and with prejudice the Class Action in  
28 favor of Pioneer, with all parties to bear their own costs and attorneys’ fees.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

7. The Releasees are hereby and forever released and discharged with respect to any and all claims or causes of action which the Releasors had or have arising out of or related to any of the Released Claims as defined in the Pioneer Settlement Agreement.

8. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court hereby retains continuing jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of this settlement and any distribution to Class Members pursuant to further orders of this Court; (b) disposition of the Settlement Fund; (c) hearing and determining applications by Plaintiffs’ counsel for attorneys’ fees, costs, expenses, and interest; (d) the Action until the Final Judgment contemplated hereby has become effective and each and every act agreed to be performed by the parties all have been performed pursuant to the Pioneer Settlement Agreement; (e) hearing and ruling on any matters relating to the plan of allocation of settlement proceeds; and (f) all parties to the Action and Releasors, for the purpose of enforcing and administering the Pioneer Settlement Agreement and the mutual releases and other documents contemplated by, or executed in connection with the Pioneer Settlement Agreement.

9. The Court finds, pursuant to Rules 54(a) and (b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, that this Final Judgment should be entered and further finds that there is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Judgment, as a Final Judgment, as to the parties to the Pioneer Settlement Agreement. Accordingly, the Clerk is hereby directed to enter Judgment forthwith.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Richard Seeborg  
United States District Judge