

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

**IN RE OPTICAL DISK DRIVE  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

Case No. 3:10-md-02143 RS

MDL No. 2143

This Document Relates to:

**DIRECT PURCHASER CLASS ACTIONS**

**FINAL JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL  
WITH PREJUDICE AS TO DEFENDANTS  
KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS  
N.V.; LITE-ON IT CORP.; PHILIPS &  
LITE-ON DIGITAL SOLUTIONS CORP.;  
AND PHILIPS & LITE-ON DIGITAL  
SOLUTIONS USA, INC.**

1           This matter has come before the Court to determine whether this Court should approve the  
2 settlement with Defendants Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V.; Lite-On It Corp.; Philips & Lite-  
3 On Digital Solutions Corp.; and Philips & Lite-On Digital Solutions USA, Inc. (“PLDS” or  
4 “Defendants”) set forth in the Settlement Agreement (“PLDS Settlement Agreement”), dated  
5 September 8, 2015, relating to the above-captioned litigation. The Court, after carefully  
6 considering all papers filed and proceedings held herein and otherwise being fully informed in the  
7 premises, has determined (1) that the settlement should be approved, and (2) that there is no just  
8 reason for delay of the entry of this Final Judgment approving the PLDS Settlement Agreement.  
9 Accordingly, the Court directs entry of Judgment which shall constitute a final adjudication of this  
10 case on the merits in accordance with the terms of the PLDS Settlement Agreement. Good cause  
11 appearing therefor, it is:

12           **ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:**

- 13           1.       The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action and over all parties  
14 to the PLDS Settlement Agreement, including all members of the Class.
- 15           2.       The definitions of terms set forth in the PLDS Settlement Agreement are  
16 incorporated hereby as though fully set forth in this Judgment.
- 17           3.       The Court hereby finally approves and confirms the settlement set forth in the PLDS  
18 Settlement Agreement and finds that said settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable and  
19 adequate to the Class pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 20           4.       Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(g), Saveri & Saveri, Inc. is appointed as counsel for  
21 the Class. This firm has and will fairly and competently represent the interests of the Class.
- 22           5.       The persons/entities identified in Exhibit C to the Declaration of Rachel Christman  
23 re Dissemination of BenQ, Pioneer, PLDS, QSI, Sony, TEAC, and TSST Notice to Class Members  
24 and Claims Process, filed on March 24, 2016, have validly requested exclusion from the Class and,  
25 therefore, are excluded. Such persons/entities are not included in or bound by this Final Judgment.  
26 Such persons/entities are not entitled to any recovery of the settlement proceeds obtained through  
27 the PLDS Settlement.
- 28

1           6.       This Court hereby dismisses on the merits and with prejudice the Class Action in  
2 favor of PLDS, with all parties to bear their own costs and attorneys' fees.

3           7.       The Releasees are hereby and forever released and discharged with respect to any  
4 and all claims or causes of action which the Releasors had or have arising out of or related to any  
5 of the Released Claims as defined in the PLDS Settlement Agreement.

6           8.       Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court hereby retains  
7 continuing jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of this settlement and any distribution to Class  
8 Members pursuant to further orders of this Court; (b) disposition of the Settlement Fund; (c)  
9 hearing and determining applications by Plaintiffs' counsel for attorneys' fees, costs, expenses, and  
10 interest; (d) the Action until the Final Judgment contemplated hereby has become effective and  
11 each and every act agreed to be performed by the parties all have been performed pursuant to the  
12 PLDS Settlement Agreement; (e) hearing and ruling on any matters relating to the plan of  
13 allocation of settlement proceeds; and (f) all parties to the Action and Releasors, for the purpose of  
14 enforcing and administering the PLDS Settlement Agreement and the mutual releases and other  
15 documents contemplated by, or executed in connection with the PLDS Settlement Agreement.

16           9.       The Court finds, pursuant to Rules 54(a) and (b) of the Federal Rules of Civil  
17 Procedure, that this Final Judgment should be entered and further finds that there is no just reason  
18 for delay in the entry of this Judgment, as a Final Judgment, as to the parties to the PLDS  
19 Settlement Agreement. Accordingly, the Clerk is hereby directed to enter Judgment forthwith.

20  
21           IT IS SO ORDERED.

22  
23 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
24 Hon. Richard Seeborg  
25 United States District Judge  
26  
27  
28